2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

BEFORE THE CITY OF SEATTLE PUBLIC SAFETY CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re the Matter of

GREG ANDERSON

Request to Order a Classification Study on the Harbor Patrol Unit Boat Pilots

ORDER

Background

Greg Anderson is a Firefighter with the Seattle Fire Department. He is currently ranked fourth on the Fireboat Pilot promotional register. In September 11, 2009, Firefighter Anderson requested the Public Safety Civil Service Commission (Commission) perform a classification study for individuals serving as pilots in the Police Department's Harbor Patrol Unit.

Mary E. Effertz, Executive Director of the Commission "declined to 'make or cause to be made' a classification study of the Police Department Harbor Patrol positions at the request of...a member of the Fire Department.¹ Commission Rule 7.03 states that the Secretary shall make position classification studies when 1) the duties and responsibilities of existing positions have significantly changed; 2) new positions are established by the City Council; or 3) *may do so* upon request of an appointing authority, department head, or effected employee. Director Effertz found that there was no evidence that the Harbor Patrol Units duties have changed nor that new positions were established that necessitated a study. She further found that a Fire Department

¹ Mary E. Effertz letter to Mitchell A. Riese, Attorney for Firefighter Anderson dated October 21, 2009.

employee is not an "effected employee" of a Police Department position classification. The Personnel Department defines an "effected employee" as a person who is an incumbent of the position in question. In addition, the Personnel Department has never conducted a classification study in response to a request from a person employed by another department.

Firefighter Anderson made a timely request for the Commission to revise or modify Ms.

Effertz decision. Firefighter Anderson's request includes four reasons the decision was faulty.

- 1. The Seattle Police Department Harbor Patrol Unit (HPU) boats have firefighting capacity and therefore should be classified as fireboat pilot positions.
- 2. The HPU boat pilot positions have not been suitably classified.
- 3. That there is evidence that the HPU boat pilots are not in compliance with state law, which makes the study necessary.
- 4. An effected employee includes more than just an incumbent in the position. It includes persons who assert that they are qualified for the position.

Evaluation of the Request

Under Rule 7.03, the decision whether to grant a request to do a position classification study is discretionary.

Firefighter Anderson's first, second, and third arguments all relate to application of Washington Administrative Code (WAC) and how the WACs interact with the Seattle Municipal Code. His fourth argument relates to his status as an employee.

Evaluation WAC 296 Ch. 305 and City's Classification System

Firefighter Anderson is attempting to extend the Department of Labor & Industries (L&I) definition of fire boat and fire department beyond their scope. WAC 296 Ch. 305 regulates the safety standards for fire fighters under the Industrial Safety and Health Act. WAC 296-305-

01005 defines a fire boat as "a fire department watercraft having permanent, affixed fire fighting capability" and fire department as "an organization providing all or any of the following: rescue, fire suppression, and other related activities." The HPU boats are arguably fire boats under these definitions.

Firefighter Anderson fails to look beyond the definition to determine the applicability of these definitions. WAC 296-305-05009 defines the requirements for watercraft rescue operations and outlines appropriate regulations. The applicable provisions state, "when fire boats perform rescue activities, they shall have two dedicated personnel. Any member not specifically required to operate the vessel,...may be used as a deckhand." and that "all assigned personnel shall be trained in safe operation of watercraft and the operations the craft is intended to perform." WAC 296-30-05009. HPU boats are operated by two or more individuals and the HPU pilots receive watercraft safety and operational training. The HPU boats are in compliance with WAC 296-305-05009. The WACs do not, however, add limitations on the way employers classify related positions.

Firefighter Anderson's first claim is that since the Fire Department has a Job Class Specification for Fireboat Pilot, every City Department must hire from the Fireboat Pilot promotional list for any and all vessels possibly defined as a fire boat under WAC 296-305-01005. This argument has no merit. The WAC simply does not address position classifications. The sole purpose of the WACs is to provide safety and training requirements necessary for statutorily defined fire boats. Additionally, L&I's previous evaluations of the HPU compliance did not require nor recommend that SPD hire firefighters to pilot HBU boats. L&I is concerned with training and safety nets not with job classifications.

The WAC definition is inapplicable to position classification under the Seattle Municipal Code (SMC). Under SMC 4.08.070, the Commission has the discretion to create multiple, and mutually exclusive, pools of employees that are qualified to pilot a fire boat as defined by WAC 296.305.01005.

Firefighter Anderson's second claim is that the HPU boat pilot positions are not suitably classified. SMC 4.08.070(b) gives the Commission power and duty to "classify...all positions covered by this system." SMC 4.08.090 states that "an applicant...must meet the minimum qualifications prescribed by the Commission." The Commission has determined that Seattle Police Officers will be assigned as HPU boat pilots on a rotating basis. The Commission has further determined that a promotional examination for that specific position is unnecessary. This classification is reasonable and within the Commission's discretion.

Firefighter Anderson's third claim is that there is evidence that the HPU boat pilots are not in compliance with state law and that makes a classification study necessary. First, there is no evidence that the HPU boat pilots are not currently receiving appropriate training and otherwise complying with WAC 296-305-05009 as stated above. Second, the Commission Rule 7.03 does not enumerate possible statutory violations as a reason to grant a classification study.

Status as Effected Employee

Firefighter Anderson fourth and final claim is that he is an effected employee under Commission Rule 7.03. The Commission normally defines effected employee as a person who is an incumbent of the position in question. Firefighter Anderson argues this definition should be expanded to include a person who could be arguably be qualified for the position if the classification was reevaluated.

Director Effertz original position, that an effected employee is limited to employees who are incumbents of the position is justifiable based on past actions and evidence that the Commission has never ordered a study upon the request of an employee of a different City Department.

Moreover, Firefighter Anderson would not be an effected employee even if the Commission applies his recommended, and expanded, definition to include a person who could be arguably be qualified for the position if the classification was reevaluated. Firefighter Anderson is a firefighter not a police officer. If the Commission found reason to evaluate the position classification for the HPU boat pilot, the HPU boat pilot minimum qualifications would likely include previous experience and training as a police officer. The primary duties of HPU boat pilots relate to law enforcement not fire protection. Therefore, Firefighter Anderson would not be qualified to be a HPU boat pilot even if a classification was ordered based on his lack of law enforcement experience that would be required.

Additionally, Rule 7.03 allows, but does not require, the Secretary to order a classification study requested by an effected employee. Even if Firefighter Anderson was an effected employee, Director Effertz was within her discretion to deny a request for a classification study under any circumstance.

ORDER

WAC 296-305-01005 and WAC 296-305-05009 define fire boats and outline required safety procedures for fire boat operation. These WACs do not require the Commission to classify all potential fire boat pilots into one promotional pool. The Commission has the power and the duty to determine the proper classification of positions under its control.

The Harbor Patrol Unit boat pilot requirements comply with WAC 296-305-05009.

Noncompliance with the WAC would not require a classification study under Commission Rule 7.03.

A firefighter ranked on the Fire Department's Fireboat Pilot promotional list cannot request a classification study of the Harbor Patrol Unit boat pilot because he is not, nor would not be, an effected employee under Commission Rule 7.03. He is not an effected employee because 1) he is not an incumbent of the position in question, and 2) he would not be qualified for the position even after the study was complete.

For the foregoing reasons, the Commission declines to exercise its discretion to do a position classification study evaluating the Harbor Patrol Unit boat pilots and affirms the decision of the Executive director denying Mr. Anderson's request.

Dated this 18th day of <u>December</u>, 2009.

/s/ Joel A. Nark

Joel A. Nark, Commission Chair

/s/ Herbert V. Johnson

Herbert V. Johnson, Commissioner

/s/ Terry Carroll

Terry Carroll, Commissioner